

E. MARTIN ESTRADA
 United States Attorney
 CAMERON L. SCHROEDER
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Chief, National Security Division
 MAXWELL COLL (Cal. Bar No. 312651)
 Assistant United States Attorney
 National Cryptocurrency Enforcement Team
 Computer Crime and Intellectual Property Section
 NISHA CHANDRAN (Cal. Bar No. 325345)
 Assistant United States Attorney
 Cyber & Intellectual Property Crimes Section
 1500 United States Courthouse
 312 North Spring Street
 Los Angeles, California 90012
 Telephone: (213) 894-1785/2429
 Facsimile: (213) 894-1042
 E-mail: maxwell.coll@usdoj.gov
 nisha.chandran@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for Plaintiff
 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

LU ZHANG,
 aka "Nikki,"
 JOSEPH WONG,
 JUSTIN WALKER, and
 HAILONG ZHU,

Defendants.

No. CR 2:23-00596-RGK

~~[PROPOSED]~~ ORDER CONTINUING TRIAL
 DATE AND FINDINGS REGARDING
 EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS PURSUANT
 TO SPEEDY TRIAL ACT 57]

~~[PROPOSED]~~ TRIAL DATE: 09-17-2024
 9:00 a.m.

The Court has read and considered the Stipulation Regarding Request for (1) Continuance of Trial Date and (2) Findings of Excludable Time Periods Pursuant to Speedy Trial Act, filed by the parties in this matter on January 26, 2024. The Court hereby finds that the Stipulation, which this Court incorporates by reference into this Order, demonstrates facts that support a continuance of the

1 trial date in this matter, and provides good cause for a finding of
2 excludable time pursuant to the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161.

3 The Court further finds that: (i) the ends of justice served by
4 the continuance outweigh the best interest of the public and
5 defendant in a speedy trial; ~~(ii) failure to grant the continuance~~
6 ~~would be likely to make a continuation of the proceeding impossible,~~
7 ~~or result in a miscarriage of justice; and (iii) failure to grant the~~
8 ~~continuance would unreasonably deny defendant continuity of counsel~~
9 ~~and would deny defense counsel the reasonable time necessary for~~
10 ~~effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due~~
11 ~~diligence.~~

12 THEREFORE, FOR GOOD CAUSE SHOWN:

13 1. The trial in this matter is continued from February 6,
14 2024, to September 17, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

15 2. The time period of February 6, 2024 to September 17, 2024,
16 inclusive, is excluded in computing the time within which the trial
17 must commence, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. §§ 3161(h)(7)(A), (h)(7)(B)(i),
18 and (B)(iv).

19 3. Defendants shall appear in Courtroom 850 of the Roybal
20 Federal Building and U.S. Courthouse, 255 East Temple Street, Los
21 Angeles, California 90012, on September 17, 2024, at 9:00 a.m.

22 4. Nothing in this Order shall preclude a finding that other
23 provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time
24 periods are excluded from the period within which trial must

25 //

26 //

27 //


28 //

1 commence. Moreover, the same provisions and/or other provisions of
2 the Speedy Trial Act may in the future authorize the exclusion of
3 additional time periods from the period within which trial must
4 commence.

5 IT IS SO ORDERED.

6 1/29/2024

7
8 DATE



HONORABLE R. GARY KLAUSNER
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

9
10
11 Presented by:

12 /s/ Maxwell Coll

13 MAXWELL COLL
14 Assistant United States Attorney
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28